A AND
FLORIDA

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY ARMS COMPLAINT NO:	(CI)
AIRS ID#: 0111013 DA	TE: <u>05/26/2006</u>	ARRIVE: <u>1:30 PM</u>	DEPART: <u>2:30 PM</u>
FACILITY NAME: MO	DDERN CONCRETE		
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 5512 NW 10TH TERR		
	FORT LAUDERDALE	33309	
RESPONSIBLE OFFIC	CIAL: Javier Vergara	PHONE: (954)776-4361
CONTACT NAME:		PHONE:	
REMITTANCE YEAR:	ENTITL	EMENT PERIOD: 1/5/2004 (effective date)	/ 1/5/2009 (end date)
PART II: TESTING/RE		<u>MENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C</u>	Non-COMPLIANCE
 (check ☑ appropriat Stack Emissions Were visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)?- Are emissions fro controlled to the e During visible emia at a rate that is repunless such rate is Are emissions fro to this question is skip 4.a) and 4.b) a) Was the batchii b) During the vis duration? If emissions from from the silo dust 	te box(es)) sions tests conducted during this om silos, weigh hoppers (batchers extent necessary to limit visible en hissions tests of the silo dust colle presentative of the normal silo loas s unachievable in practice?	site visit according to EPA Method b), and other enclosed storage and c missions to 5 percent opacity? ector exhaust points was the loading ading rate, or at least at the minimu eration controlled by the silo dust of tions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer the visible emissions test?	d 9 (Ref.: Chapter □Yes ⊠ No onveying equipment □Yes □ No g of the silo conducted Im 25 tons per hour rate, □Yes ⊠ No collector? (If answer : is "No" then □Yes ⊠ No al batching rate and □Yes □ No ctor, which is separate

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued)
(check 🗹 appropriate box(es)
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.) Yes Yes
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate:
a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? [Yes] No
 b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date? ⊠Yes □ No
 Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Yes No
 Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? □Yes □ No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary (2); 2) a relocatable (2); or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check I only one box.</i>)	le 🗌
2. If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral process plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> ,	ing
then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d),) below.)	Yes
a) Are there any additional nonexempt units located at this facility?	Yes
b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per	
colondar year?	$\Box \mathbf{V}_{\alpha\beta}$

ε,	is the total comonical annual facture, where fact on asage of an plants less than 2 to,000 ganons per	
	calendar year?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
c)	Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
d)	Is the fuel oil sulfur content 0.5% by weight or less?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
a) b)	the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for: fuel consumption on a monthly basis?	☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No

⊠ No □ No

PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
 - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1)	paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? Xes No	
	2)	application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control	
		emissions?	
	3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to	
		re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? XYes No	
	4)	reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	
		particulate matter from stock piles? Xes No	
b)	use	of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Xes No	

PART IV: <u>SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. <u>New or Modified Process Equipment</u>

. Since the last inspection has there been		
a) installation of any new process equipment?	Yes	🖂 No
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?	Yes	🛛 No
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most		
recent notification form?	Yes	🛛 No
d) If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or		
local program office?	Yes	🛛 No

Elizabeth F. Susky

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

08/2006

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

05/26/2006

COMMENTS: In a compliance inspection on 05/26/2006, AQD staff observed operations at Modern Concrete. AQD staff met with Javier Vergara (manager). This facility was recently sold and Mr. Vergara stated that he had plans to also renovate the site and the silos and baghouses (AQD staff later spoke the permitting staff and it was agreed that new company was operating without a permit and would receive a Waring Notice).

The site was watered down and the facility did have their tarps during the loading process. Mr. Vergara stated that he planned on conducting his Visible Emissions test once the new equipment was installed in late August, 2006.